

Global Sourcing and Domestic Production Networks*

Taiji Furusawa Tomohiko Inui Keiko Ito Heiwai Tang
Hitotsubashi U. Gakushuin U. Senshu U. Johns Hopkins and CESifo

First Draft: October 15, 2015

This Version: May 8, 2017

Abstract

This paper studies from both theoretical and empirical perspectives how a country’s domestic production networks are shaped by firms’ offshoring decisions. We develop a model to study heterogeneous firms’ input sourcing from multiple industries, domestic regions, and foreign countries. Input sourcing entails communication with suppliers, which is endogenously increasing in the differentiation of input varieties. The model predicts that firms are less likely to source differentiated inputs, especially from distant domestic and foreign suppliers, due to high communication costs. Triggered by foreign countries’ export supply shocks, firms start offshoring inputs from foreign suppliers, which replace their less productive domestic suppliers in the same industry (direct replacement effect). The resulting decline in the marginal costs induces firms to start sourcing from the more productive and distant domestic suppliers (productivity effect), but possibly also from the more proximate ones in the newly sourced differentiated input industries (industry composition effect). The net effect of offshoring on a firm’s domestic production networks depends on the relative strength of the three effects, which we verify using data for 4.5 million buyer-seller links in Japan. Based on a firm-level instrument, we find that after offshoring, firms are less likely to drop suppliers, but more so for the larger and more distant ones. They tend to add suppliers that are more proximate and from differentiated input industries. These results imply that firms’ offshoring increases the spatial concentration of domestic production networks.

Key Words: production networks; global sourcing, offshoring, face-to-face communication

*The paper was previously circulated under the title “Offshoring, Relationship-Specificity, and Domestic Production Networks” (2015, RIETI Discussion Paper 15-E-122). It is conducted as part of the Project “Global Markets and Japan’s Industrial Growth” undertaken at Research Institute of Economy, Trade and Industry (RIETI), and receives financial support from the JSPS KAKENHI Grants (No. 23243050, 26220503, 15K03456, and 16H03623). We thank the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry for providing the micro data of the Basic Survey of Japanese Business Structure and Activities, and RIETI for granting us to access Tokyo Shoko Research data used in this study. We thank Pol Antràs, Andy Bernard, Masahisa Fujita, Yukiko Ito, Masayuki Morikawa, Andreas Moxnes, Sam Kortum, Pravin Krishna, Yukiko Saito, Tim Schmidt-Eisenlohr, Yasuyuki Todo, Felix Tintelnot, Daniel Treffer, Jonathan Vogel, Ryuhei Wakasugi and participants at various seminars and conferences for comments. Tran Lam Anh Duong, Hiroki Ichimiya, Hayato Kato, and Kenji Suganuma provide excellent research assistance. All errors are our own.